From the Department of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology, Loyola Stritch School of Medicine, Maywood, Ill. (R.S.C.); the Department of Epidemiology, University of North Carolina School of Public Health, Chapel Hill (J.S.K.); and the Department of Biological Anthropology, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom (R.W.). Address reprint requests to Dr. Cooper at the Department of Preventive Medicine and Epidemiology, Loyola Stritch School of Medicine, 2160 S. First Ave., Maywood, IL 60153, or at rcooper@

This article is dedicated to the memory of Dr. Ryk Ward, whose contributions to the genetics community will long be remembered.

- 1. Montagu A, ed. The concept of race. New York: Free Press of
- 2. Risch N, Burchard E, Ziv E, Tang H. Categorization of humans in biomedical research: genes, race and disease. Genome Biol 2002; 3(7):comment2007.1-comment2007.12.
- 3. Muntaner C, Nieto FJ, O'Campo P. The Bell Curve: on race, social class, and epidemiologic research. Am J Epidemiol 1996;144:531-6.
- 4. Wade N. Race is seen as real guide to track roots of disease. New York Times. July 30, 2002:F1.
- 5. Franciosa JA, Taylor AL, Cohn JN, et al. African-American Heart Failure Trial (A-HeFT): rationale, design, and methodology. J Card Fail 2002;8:128-35.
- 6. Carson P, Ziesche S, Johnson G, Cohn JN. Racial differences in response to therapy for heart failure: analysis of the vasodilatorheart failure trials. J Card Fail 1999:5:178-87.
- 7. Exner DV, Dries DL, Domanski MJ, Cohn JN. Lesser response to angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor therapy in black as compared with white patients with left ventricular dysfunction. N Engl J Med 2001:344:1351-7.
- 8. Dries DL, Strong M, Cooper RS, Drazner MH. Efficacy of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in reducing progression of asymptomatic left ventricular dysfunction to symptomatic heart failure in black and white patients. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:311-7. [Erratum, J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:1019.]
- 9. The ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major outcomes in high-risk hypertensive patients randomized to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor or calcium channel blocker vs diuretic: the Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT). JAMA 2002;288:2981-97. [Erratum, JAMA 2003;289:178.]
- 10. Wilson JE, Weale ME, Smith AC, et al. Population genetic structure of variable drug response. Nat Genet 2001;29:265-9.
- 11. Splawski I, Timothy KW, Tateyama M, et al. Variant of SCN5A sodium channel implicated in risk of cardiac arrhythmia. Science 2002:297:1333-6.
- 12. Rosenberg NA, Pritchard JK, Weber JL, et al. Genetic structure of human populations. Science 2002;298:2381-5.
- 13. Stephens JC, Schneider JA, Tanguay DA, et al. Haplotype varia-

- tion and linkage disequilibrium in 313 human genes. Science 2001; 293:489-93. [Erratum, Science 2001;293:1048.]
- 14. Halushka MK, Fan J-B, Bentley K, et al. Patterns of single-nucleotide polymorphisms in candidate genes for blood pressure homeostasis. Nat Genet 1999:22:239-47.
- 15. Templeton AR. Human races: a genetic and evolutionary perspective. Am Anthropol 1998;100:632-50.
- 16. Romualdi C, Balding D, Nasidze IS, et al. Patterns of human diversity, within and among continents, inferred from biallelic DNA polymorphisms. Genome Res 2002:12:602-12.
- 17. Freedman BI. End-stage renal failure in African Americans: insights in kidney disease susceptibility. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2002;17:198-200.
- 18. Yancy CW. The role of race in heart failure therapy. Curr Cardiol Rep 2002:4:218-25.
- 19. Hajjar RJ, MacRae CA. Adrenergic-receptor polymorphisms and heart failure. N Engl J Med 2002;347:1196-9.
- 20. Henderson SO, Coetzee GA, Ross RK, Yu MC, Henderson BE. Elevated mortality rates from circulatory disease in African American men and women of Los Angeles County, California — a possible genetic susceptibility? Am J Med Sci 2000;320:18-23.
- 21. The HGP: end of phase 1. Nat Genet 2002;30:125.
- 22. Kimm SYS, Glynn NW, Aston CE, et al. Racial differences in the relation between uncoupling protein genes and resting energy expenditure. Am J Clin Nutr 2002;75:714-9.
- 23. Robbins AS, Whittemore AS, Thom DH. Differences in socioeconomic status and survival among white and black men with prostate cancer. Am J Epidemiol 2000;151:409-16.
- 24. Brancati FL, Whelton PK, Kuller LH, Klag MJ. Diabetes mellitus, race, and socioeconomic status: a population-based study. Ann Epidemiol 1996;6:67-73.
- 25. Brewster LM, Clark JF, van Montfrans GA. Is greater tissue activity of creatine kinase the genetic factor increasing hypertension risk in black people of sub-Saharan African descent? J Hypertens 2000; 18:1537-44.
- 26. Grim CE, Robinson M. Blood pressure variation in blacks: genetic factors. Semin Nephrol 1996:16:83-93.
- 27. Chase A. The legacy of Malthus: the social costs of the new scientific racism. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1977.
- 28. Gould SJ. The mismeasure of man. Rev. ed. New York: W.W.
- 29. Gabriel SB, Schaffner SF, Nguyen H, et al. The structure of haplotype blocks in the human genome. Science 2002;296:2225-9.
- 30. Cooper R, Rotimi C, Ataman S, et al. The prevalence of hypertension in seven populations of West African origin. Am J Public Health 1997;87:160-8.
- 31. Cooper RS, Rotimi CN, Kaufman JS, et al. Prevalence of NIDDM among populations of the African diaspora. Diabetes Care 1997;20:

Copyright © 2003 Massachusetts Medical Society.

#### The Importance of Race and Ethnic Background in Biomedical Research and Clinical Practice

Esteban González Burchard, M.D., Elad Ziv, M.D., Natasha Coyle, Ph.D., Scarlett Lin Gomez, Ph.D., Hua Tang, Ph.D., Andrew J. Karter, Ph.D., Joanna L. Mountain, Ph.D., Eliseo J. Pérez-Stable, M.D., Dean Sheppard, M.D., and Neil Risch, Ph.D.

racial classification may not be useful for biomed- for the exclusion of racial and ethnic classification

A debate has recently arisen over the use of racial ical studies, since it reflects "a fairly small number classification in medicine and biomedical research. of genes that describe appearance" and "there is In particular, with the completion of a rough draft no basis in the genetic code for race." In part on of the human genome, some have suggested that the basis of these conclusions, some have argued

from biomedical research.3 In the United States, race and ethnic background have been used as cause for discrimination, prejudice, marginalization, and even subjugation. Excessive focus on racial or ethnic differences runs the risk of undervaluing the great diversity that exists among persons within groups. However, this risk needs to be weighed against the fact that in epidemiologic and clinical research, racial and ethnic categories are useful for generating and exploring hypotheses about environmental and genetic risk factors, as well as interactions between risk factors, for important medical outcomes. Erecting barriers to the collection of information such as race and ethnic background may provide protection against the aforementioned risks; however, it will simultaneously retard progress in biomedical research and limit the effectiveness of clinical decision making.

# RACE AND ETHNIC BACKGROUND AS GEOGRAPHIC AND SOCIOCULTURAL CONSTRUCTS WITH BIOLOGIC RAMIFICATIONS

Definitions of race and ethnic background have often been applied inconsistently.<sup>4</sup> The classification scheme used in the 2000 U.S. Census, which is often used in biomedical research, includes five major groups: black or African American, white, Asian, native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, and American Indian or Alaska native. In general, this classification scheme emphasizes the geographic region of origin of a person's ancestry.<sup>5</sup> Ethnic background is a broader construct that takes into consideration cultural tradition, common history, religion, and often a shared genetic heritage.

From the perspective of genetics, structure in the human population is determined by patterns of mating and reproduction. Historically, the greatest force influencing genetic differentiation among humans has been geography. Great physical distances and geographic barriers (e.g., high mountains, large deserts, and large bodies of water) have imposed impediments to human communication and interaction and have led to geographically determined endogamous (i.e., within-group) mating patterns resulting in a genetic substructure that largely follows geographic lines. The past two decades of research in population genetics has also shown that the greatest genetic differentiation in the human population occurs between continentally separated groups.

Endogamous mating within continents has given rise to further subdivisions, often corresponding to ethnic groups. This subdivision is again partially attributable to geography but is also associated with social factors, including religion, culture, language, and other sources of group identification. Thus, ethnic groups are genetically differentiated to varying degrees, depending on the extent of reproductive isolation and endogamy, but typically less so than are continentally defined groups.

Considerable debate has focused on whether race and ethnic identity are primarily social or biologic constructs. Unlike a biologic category such as sex, racial and ethnic categories arose primarily through geographic, social, and cultural forces and, as such, are not stagnant, but potentially fluid. Even though these forces are not biologic in nature, racial or ethnic groups do differ from each other genetically, which has biologic implications.

#### SOCIOCULTURAL CORRELATES OF RACE AND ETHNIC BACKGROUND

The racial or ethnic groups described above do not differ from each other solely in terms of genetic makeup, especially in a multiracial and multicultural society such as the United States. Socioeconomic status is strongly correlated with race and ethnic background and is a robust predictor of access to and quality of health care and education, which, in turn, may be associated with differences in the incidence of diseases and the outcomes of those diseases.7 For example, black Americans with endstage renal disease are referred for renal transplantation at lower rates than white Americans.8 Black Americans are also referred for cardiac catheterization less frequently than white Americans.9 In some cases, these differences may be due to bias on the part of physicians and discriminatory practices in medicine. 10 Nonetheless, racial or ethnic differences in the outcomes of disease sometimes persist even when discrepancies in the use of interventions known to be beneficial are considered. For example, the rate of complications from type 2 diabetes mellitus varies according to racial or ethnic category among members of the same health maintenance organization, despite uniform utilization of outpatient services and after adjustment for levels of education and income, health behavior, and clinical characteristics.11 The evaluation of whether genetic (as well as nongenetic) differences underlie racial disparities is appropriate in cases in which

important racial and ethnic differences persist after socioeconomic status and access to care are properly taken into account.

### EVIDENCE OF GENETIC DIFFERENTIATION AMONG RACES

There are estimated to be at least 15 million genetic polymorphisms, <sup>12</sup> and an as yet undefined subgroup of these polymorphisms underlie variation in normal and disease traits. The importance of such variation is underscored by the fact that a change of only a single base pair is required to cause many well-known inherited diseases, such as sickle cell disease, or to increase the risk of common disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease. Studies in population genetics have revealed great genetic variation within racial or ethnic subpopulations, but also substantial variation among the five major racial groups, as defined above.<sup>5</sup> This variation has been demonstrated in at least three ways.

First, investigators studying the population genetics of indigenous groups from around the world have constructed ancestral-tree diagrams showing branching relationships among the various indigenous groups. Despite differences in the types of markers used, these studies have been consistent in showing that the human population has major branches corresponding to the major racial groups, with subbranches within each racial group associated with indigenous groups.<sup>13-15</sup>

Second, analysis of genetic clusters has been applied to persons of diverse ancestry, with a focus on genotypes at multiple genetic loci. These analyses have also consistently resulted in the delineation of major genetic clusters that are associated with racial categories. <sup>16-19</sup> The primary difference between the results of these studies and the categories used by the U.S. Census is that South, Central, and West Asians cluster with Europeans and are separate from East Asians.

Third, studies have examined the distribution of differences among racial groups in the frequency of alleles (genetic variants) at both microsatellite and single-nucleotide–polymorphism (SNP) markers, demonstrating a median difference in allele frequency of 15 to 20 percent, with 10 percent of markers showing a difference of 40 percent or more. Thus, for an allele with a frequency of 20 percent or greater in one racial group, the odds are in favor of seeing the same variant in another racial group. However, variants with a frequency

below that level are more likely to be race-specific. This race-specificity of variants is particularly common among Africans, who display greater genetic variability than other racial groups and have a larger number of low-frequency alleles.<sup>17</sup> These results indicate that the frequency of variant alleles underlying disease or normal phenotypes can vary substantially among racial groups, leading to differences in the frequency of the phenotypes themselves. Such differences in frequency are also found among ethnic groups, but these differences are typically not as great. Furthermore, self-defined ancestry is very highly correlated with genetically defined clusters.<sup>5,19</sup>

### GENETIC DIFFERENCES IN DISEASE AMONG RACIAL AND ETHNIC GROUPS

To what degree does genetic variability account for medically important differences in disease outcomes among racial and ethnic groups? The answer depends on the frequency of the genetic variants or alleles (mutations) underlying the susceptibility to the disease. For mendelian disorders, the relevance of race and ethnic background is readily apparent. Mutations that have frequencies of less than 2 percent are nearly always race-specific and, in fact, are often specific to single ethnic groups within a given race. For example, numerous mutations with frequencies in this range occur uniquely in Ashkenazi Jews, French Canadians, the Amish, or European gypsies. This is because such populations descend from a relatively small number of founders and have remained endogamous for a large part of their history. Mutant alleles with frequencies of more than 2 percent but less than 20 percent are typically prevalent within single racial groups but not in other racial groups. For example, hemochromatosis is associated with a mutant allele (C282Y) found in all European groups and at especially high frequency (8 to 10 percent) in northern Europeans, but is virtually absent in nonwhite groups.22

"Complex" genetic disorders such as asthma, cancer, diabetes, and atherosclerosis are most likely due to multiple, potentially interacting, genes and environmental factors and are thus more challenging to study. The genetic determinants of the majority of these disorders are currently poorly understood, but the few examples that do exist demonstrate clinically important racial and ethnic differences in gene frequency. For example, factor V Leiden, a genetic variant that confers an increased

risk of venous thromboembolic disease, is present in about 5 percent of white people. In contrast, this variant is rarely found in East Asians and Africans (prevalence, ≤1 percent).<sup>23,24</sup> Susceptibility to Crohn's disease is associated with three polymorphic genetic variants in the CARD15 gene in whites25; none of these genetic variants were found in Japanese patients with Crohn's disease.26 Another important gene that affects a complex trait is CCR5 a receptor used by the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) to enter cells. As many as 25 percent of white people (especially in northern Europe) are heterozygous for the CCR5-delta32 variant, which is protective against HIV infection and progression, whereas this variant is virtually absent in other groups, thus suggesting racial and ethnic differences in protection against HIV.27

Other alleles occur in all ethnic groups but with highly variable frequency. Increasingly, researchers and clinicians are focusing on identifying and studying the genetic variants that influence responses to drugs and the metabolism of drugs (an area of study termed pharmacogenetics). One example is N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2), an enzyme involved in the detoxification of many carcinogens and the metabolism of many commonly used drugs. Genetic variants of NAT2 result in two phenotypes, slow and rapid acetylators. Population-based studies of NAT2 and its metabolites have shown that the slow-acetylator phenotype ranges in frequency from approximately 14 percent among East Asians to 34 percent among black Americans to 54 percent among whites.<sup>28</sup> Genetic variants of NAT2 are important because they may predict toxic effects of drugs and because they may contribute to racial and ethnic variation in the incidence of environmentally induced cancers.

## RACIAL AND ETHNIC DIFFERENCES AS CLUES TO INTERACTIONS

Even when all racial and ethnic groups share a genetic variant that causes a disease, studies of different groups may offer important insights. One of the best-known examples of a gene that affects a complex disease is APOE. A patient harboring a variant of this gene, APOE  $\epsilon 4$ , has a substantially increased risk of Alzheimer's disease. APOE  $\epsilon 4$  is relatively common and is seen in all racial and ethnic groups, albeit at different frequencies, ranging from 9 percent in Japanese populations to 14 percent in white populations to 19 percent in black

American populations.<sup>29</sup> However, a recent metaanalysis has demonstrated that the effect of APOE  $\epsilon 4$ on the risk of Alzheimer's disease varies according to race.<sup>29</sup> Homozygosity for the  $\epsilon 4$  allele increases risk by a factor of 33 in Japanese populations and by a factor of 15 in white populations, but only by a factor of 6 in black American populations; similarly, heterozygosity for the  $\epsilon 4$  allele increases the risk by a factor of 5.6 in Japanese populations, by a factor of 3.0 in white populations, and by a factor of 1.1 in black American populations. Although the reason for this variation in risk remains unknown, it suggests that there may be genetic or environmental modifiers of this gene. Thus, even when a genetic determinant of a complex disease is present in all racial and ethnic groups, racial and ethnic classification may offer additional important insights.

#### RACIALLY ADMIXED POPULATIONS

Although studies of population genetics have clustered persons into a small number of groups corresponding roughly to five major racial categories, such classification is not completely discontinuous, because there has been intermixing among groups both over the course of history and in recent times. In particular, genetic admixture, or the presence in a population of persons with multiple races or ethnic backgrounds, is well documented in the border regions of continents and may represent genetic gradations (clines) — for example, among East Africans (e.g., Ethiopians)<sup>18</sup> and some central Asian groups.<sup>19</sup> In the United States, mixture among different racial groups has occurred recently, although in the 2000 U.S. Census, the majority of respondents still identified themselves as members of a single racial group. Genetic studies of black Americans have documented a range of 7 to 20 percent white admixture, depending on the geographic location of the population studied.30 Despite the admixture, black Americans, as a group, are still genetically similar to Africans. Hispanics, the largest and fastest growing minority population in the United States, are an admixed group that includes white and Native American ancestry, as well as African ancestry.31 The proportions of admixture in this group also vary according to geographic region.

Although the categorization of admixed groups poses special challenges, groups containing persons with varying levels of admixture can also be particularly useful for genetic-epidemiologic studies. For example, Williams et al. studied the associ-

Copyright © 2003 Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.

ation between the degree of white admixture and the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus among Pima Indians.<sup>32</sup> They found that the self-reported degree of white admixture (reported as a percentage) was strongly correlated with protection from diabetes in this population. Furthermore, as noted above, information on race or ethnic background can provide important clues to effects of culture, access to care, and bias on the part of caregivers, even in genetically admixed populations. It is also important to recognize that many groups (e.g., most Asian groups) are highly underrepresented both in the population of the United States and in typical surveys of population genetics, relative to their global numbers. Thus, primary categories that are relevant for the current U.S. population might not be optimal for a globally derived sample.

# RISKS ENTAILED BY IGNORING RACE IN BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH AND CLINICAL PRACTICE

Given its controversial social and political history, it may be tempting to abandon the notion of race altogether, particularly if we believe that continued attention to differences among races may perpetuate discrepancies in health status and well-being. Indeed, some have advocated discontinuing the collection of information about race and ethnic background, presumably as a way of protecting minority groups. In California, advocates of this move are pushing for a state law — through the Racial Privacy Initiative<sup>33</sup> — that would prohibit racial classification by the state or other public entities. Although this initiative formally excludes a ban on classification for the purposes of medical research, the abolition of the collection of data on race or ethnic group for all other purposes would eliminate these data from many public data bases on which clinicians and scientists rely in order to make meaningful inferences about the effects of race and ethnic background on health and disease in persons and populations.

We believe that ignoring race and ethnic background would be detrimental to the very populations and persons that this approach allegedly seeks to protect. Information about patients' ethnic or racial group is imperative for the identification, tracking, and investigation of the reasons for racial and ethnic differences in the prevalence and severity of disease and in responses to treatment. This information is also crucial for identifying different risk-factor profiles even when a disease does not occur with dramatically different frequencies in different racial or ethnic groups. Furthermore, knowledge of a person's ancestry may facilitate testing, diagnosis, and treatment when genetic factors are involved. For example, there are already tests to screen for disease-causing mutations that are tailored to specific racial or ethnic groups. Currently, racial and ethnic minorities in the United States are underrepresented in many clinical studies.34 If investigators ignored race and ethnic background in research studies and persons were sampled randomly, the overwhelming majority of participants in clinical studies in the United States would be white, and minority populations would never be adequately sampled.<sup>5</sup> In cases in which there are important racial and ethnic differences in the causes of disease or other outcomes or in which there are interactions between race or ethnic background and other factors contributing to these outcomes, such patterns would never be discovered, their causes could not be identified, and the appropriate interventions would never be applied in the groups in which they were needed. Despite the fact that the National Institutes of Health requires reporting of all racial or ethnic groups participating in biomedical research, limited progress has been made in the inclusion of minority groups.

#### CONCLUSIONS

There are racial and ethnic differences in the causes, expression, and prevalence of various diseases. The relative importance of bias, culture, socioeconomic status, access to care, and environmental and genetic influences on the development of disease is an empirical question that, in most cases, remains unanswered. Although there are potential social costs associated with linking race or ethnic background with genetics,35 we believe that these potential costs are outweighed by the benefits in terms of diagnosis and research. Ignoring racial and ethnic differences in medicine and biomedical research will not make them disappear. Rather than ignoring these differences, scientists should continue to use them as starting points for further research. Only by focusing attention on these issues can we hope to understand better the variations among racial and ethnic groups in the prevalence and severity of diseases and in responses to treatment. Such understanding provides the opportunity to develop strategies for the improvement of health outcomes for everyone.

From the Lung Biology Center (E.G.B., N.C., D.S.), the Division of General Internal Medicine (E.Z., E.J.P.-S.), the Department of Medicine (E.G.B., E.Z., N.C., E.J.P.-S., D.S.), and the Medical Effectiveness Research Center for Diverse Populations (E.G.B., E.Z., E.J.P.-S.), University of California, San Francisco; and San Francisco General Hospital (E.G.B., N.C., D.S.) — both in San Francisco; the Division of Epidemiology, Department of Health Research and Policy (S.L.G.), and the Department of Genetics (N.R.), Stanford University School of Medicine, and the Department of Statistics (H.T.) and the Department of Anthropological Sciences (J.L.M.), Stanford University — both in Stanford, Calif.; the Northern California Cancer Center, Union City, Calif. (S.L.G.); and the Department of Epidemiology and Health Services Research, Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente, Oakland, Calif. (A.J.K., N.R.). Address reprint requests to Dr. González Burchard at the University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143-0833, or at eburch@ itsa.ucsf.edu.

Drs. González Burchard and Ziv contributed equally to the

- 1. Lander E. Cracking the code of life. Boston: WGBH, 2001 (transcript).
- **2.** Angier N. Do races differ? Not really, genes show. New York Times. August 22, 2000:F1.
- 3. Schwartz RS. Racial profiling in medical research. N Engl J Med 2001:344:1392-3.
- **4.** Sankar P, Cho MK. Genetics: toward a new vocabulary of human genetic variation. Science 2002;298:1337-8.
- **5.** Risch N, Burchard E, Ziv E, Tang H. Categorization of humans in biomedical research: genes, race and disease. Genome Biol 2002; 3(7):comment2007.1–comment2007.12.
- **6.** Kaufman JS, Cooper RS. Considerations for use of racial/ethnic classification in etiologic research. Am J Epidemiol 2001;154:291-8.
- 7. Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR, eds. Unequal treatment: confronting racial and ethnic disparities in health care. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press, 2002.
- **8.** Epstein AM, Ayanian JZ, Keogh JH, et al. Racial disparities in access to renal transplantation: clinically appropriate or due to underuse or overuse? N Engl J Med 2000;343:1537-44.
- 9. Peterson ED, Wright SM, Daley J, Thibault GE. Racial variation in cardiac procedure use and survival following acute myocardial infarction in the Department of Veterans Affairs. JAMA 1994;271:
- 10. Schulman KA, Berlin JA, Harless W, et al. The effect of race and sex on physicians' recommendations for cardiac catheterization. N Engl J Med 1999;340:618-26. [Erratum, N Engl J Med 1999;340: 1130.]
- **11.** Karter AJ, Ferrara A, Liu JY, Moffet HH, Ackerson LM, Selby JV. Ethnic disparities in diabetic complications in an insured population. JAMA 2002;287:2519-27.
- **12.** Judson R, Salisbury B, Schneider J, Windemuth A, Stephens JC. How many SNPs does a genome-wide haplotype map require? Pharmacogenomics 2002;3:379-91.
- 13. Calafell F, Shuster A, Speed WC, Kidd JR, Kidd KK. Short tandem repeat polymorphism evolution in humans. Eur J Hum Genet 1998:6:38-49.
- **14.** Bowcock AM, Ruiz-Linares A, Tomfohrde J, Minch E, Kidd JR, Cavalli-Sforza LL. High resolution of human evolutionary trees with polymorphic microsatellites. Nature 1994;368:455-7.
- 15. Bowcock AM, Kidd JR, Mountain JL, et al. Drift, admixture, and

- selection in human evolution: a study with DNA polymorphisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1991;88:839-43.
- **16.** Mountain JL, Cavalli-Sforza LL. Multilocus genotypes, a tree of individuals, and human evolutionary history. Am J Hum Genet 1997;61:705-18.
- 17. Stephens JC, Schneider JA, Tanguay DA, et al. Haplotype variation and linkage disequilibrium in 313 human genes. Science 2001; 293:489-93. [Erratum, Science 2001;293:1048.]
- **18.** Wilson JF, Weale ME, Smith AC, et al. Population genetic structure of variable drug response. Nat Genet 2001;29:265-9.
- **19.** Rosenberg NA, Pritchard JK, Weber JL, et al. Genetic structure of human populations. Science 2002;298:2381-5.
- **20.** Dean M, Stephens JC, Winkler C, et al. Polymorphic admixture typing in human ethnic populations. Am J Hum Genet 1994;55: 788-808.
- **21.** Smith MW, Lautenberger JA, Shin HD, et al. Markers for mapping by admixture linkage disequilibrium in African American and Hispanic populations. Am J Hum Genet 2001;69:1080-94.
- **22.** Merryweather-Clarke AT, Pointon JJ, Jouanolle AM, Rochette J, Robson KJ. Geography of HFE C282Y and H63D mutations. Genet Test 2000;4:183-98.
- **23.** Ridker PM, Miletich JP, Hennekens CH, Buring JE. Ethnic distribution of factor V Leiden in 4047 men and women: implications for venous thromboembolism screening. JAMA 1997;277:1305-7.
- **24.** Shen MC, Lin JS, Tsay W. High prevalence of antithrombin III, protein C and protein S deficiency, but no factor V Leiden mutation in venous thrombophilic Chinese patients in Taiwan. Thromb Res 1997;87:377-85.
- **25.** Hugot JP, Chamaillard M, Zouali H, et al. Association of NOD2 leucine-rich repeat variants with susceptibility to Crohn's disease. Nature 2001;411:599-603.
- **26.** Yamazaki K, Takazoe M, Tanaka T, Kazumori T, Nakamura Y. Absence of mutation in the NOD2/CARD15 gene among 483 Japanese patients with Crohn's disease. J Hum Genet 2002;47:469-72.
- **27.** Stephens JC, Reich DE, Goldstein DB, et al. Dating the origin of the CCR5-Delta32 AIDS-resistance allele by the coalescence of haplotypes. Am J Hum Genet 1998;62:1507-15.
- **28.** Yu MC, Skipper PL, Taghizadeh K, et al. Acetylator phenotype, aminobiphenyl-hemoglobin adduct levels, and bladder cancer risk in white, black, and Asian men in Los Angeles, California. J Natl Cancer Inst 1994;86:712-6.
- **29.** Farrer LA, Cupples LA, Haines JL, et al. Effects of age, sex, and ethnicity on the association between apolipoprotein E genotype and Alzheimer disease: a meta-analysis. JAMA 1997;278:1349-56.
- **30.** Parra EJ, Marcini A, Akey J, et al. Estimating African American admixture proportions by use of population-specific alleles. Am J Hum Genet 1998;63:1839-51.
- **31.** Hanis CL, Hewett-Emmett D, Bertin TK, Schull WJ. Origins of U.S. Hispanics: implications for diabetes. Diabetes Care 1991;14: 618-27.
- **32.** Williams RC, Long JC, Hanson RL, Sievers ML, Knowler WC. Individual estimates of European genetic admixture associated with lower body-mass index, plasma glucose, and prevalence of type 2 diabetes in Pima Indians. Am J Hum Genet 2000;66:527-38.
- **33.** Racial privacy initiative. Sacramento, Calif.: American Civil Rights Coalition, 2002. (Accessed February 28, 2003, at http://www.racialprivacy.org.)
- **34.** Gifford AL, Cunningham WE, Heslin KC, et al. Participation in research and access to experimental treatments by HIV-infected patients. N Engl J Med 2002;346:1373-82.
- **35.** Foster MW, Sharp RR. Race, ethnicity, and genomics: social classifications as proxies of biological heterogeneity. Genome Res 2002:12:844-50.

Copyright © 2003 Massachusetts Medical Society.